# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE, 80TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### March 6, 2007

TO: Honorable Kip Averitt, Chair, Senate Committee on Natural Resources

FROM: John S. O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: SB3** by Averitt (Relating to the development, management, and preservation of the water resources of the state; providing penalties.), **As Introduced** 

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for SB3, As Introduced: a negative impact of (\$3,551,609) through the biennium ending August 31, 2009.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

## **General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:**

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2008	(\$1,696,560)
2009	(\$1,696,560) (\$1,855,049)
2010	(\$2,146,918)
2011	(\$2,070,818)
2012	(\$1,821,018)

## All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/ (Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1	Probable Savings/ (Cost) from GAME,FISH,WATER SAFETY AC 9	Probable Revenue Gain/(Loss) from Water Infrastructure Fund No. 302	Probable Savings/ (Cost) from Water Infrastructure Fund No. 302
2008	(\$1,696,560)	(\$224,739)	\$44,148	(\$44,148)
2009	(\$1,855,049)	(\$219,054)	\$38,978	(\$38,978)
2010	(\$2,146,918)	(\$219,978)	\$38,978	(\$38,978)
2011	(\$2,070,818)	(\$219,978)	\$38,978	(\$38,978)
2012	(\$1,821,018)	(\$220,902)	\$38,978	(\$38,978)

Fiscal Year	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2005	
2008	15.1	
2009	16.1	
2010	17.3	
2011	17.3	
2012	17.3	

# **Fiscal Analysis**

#### **Article 1 - Environmental Flows**

The bill amends various sections of the Water Code to set out a new regulatory approach to provide surface water to meet environmental flow needs. The bill creates an Environmental Flows Advisory Group supported by an Environmental Flows Science Advisory Committee (SAC), to oversee regional consensus-based Bay and Basin Stakeholders Committees as they develop environmental flow standard recommendations for consideration by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). These stakeholder committees would also be supported by Basin and Bay Expert Science Teams (BBESTs). The TCEQ, the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), and the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) would be responsible for coordinating with the advisory group and stakeholder committees, providing reports regarding the groups' recommendations, and providing technical assistance.

The bill would allow the TWDB to use money in the research and planning fund of the Water Assistance Fund No. 480 to compensate members of the SAC and the BBEST for meeting expenses. It would also allow the TWDB to pay contract costs for technical assistance to SAC and BBESTs and costs incurred by political subdivisions designated as representatives of the stakeholder committees.

### **Article 2 - Water Conservation and Planning**

The bill would require the TWDB to develop and implement a statewide water conservation awareness program to educate residents of the state about water conservation. The bill also would require the TWDB to review water conservation plans and annual reports submitted by water utilities. It would also authorize expedited amendment processes for regional water plans, and it directs the TWDB to perform compliance reviews of certain water conservation plans and annual reports.

The bill provides for an annual water transaction registration at the TWDB for persons selling or leasing more than 100 acre-feet per year of groundwater or surface water. Each sale or lease of water of more than 100 acre-feet per year would also have to be reported to the TWDB. The bill allows for TWDB to collect a fee for these registrations to offset any administrative costs associated with the registration program. All revenue generated would go into the agency's Water Infrastructure Fund No. 302.

#### Article 3 - Unique Reservoir Sites and Sites of Unique Ecological Value

As authorized by Section 16.051(g), Water Code, the legislature would, through passage of the bill, designate 19 specific sites as having unique value for the construction of a dam and reservoir. In so doing, no state agency nor political subdivision of the state may obtain a fee title or an easement that would significantly prevent the construction of a reservoir on any of the designated sites.

## **Article 4 - Legislative Joint Interim Committee**

The bill creates a joint interim oversight committee, co-chaired by the Chairmen of the Senate and House Committees on Natural Resources, to review financing of water infrastructure projects and funding for water programs administered by state agencies. The remaining membership of the joint oversight committee will consist of three appointees of the Lieutenant Governor and three appointees of the Speaker of the House.

#### Methodology

#### **Article 1--Environmental Flows**

To provide technical support to the committees and stakeholder groups established in this bill, 5.0 additional FTEs including a hydrologist, three aqua scientists, and a natural resource specialist and related costs are expected to be needed by the TCEQ totaling \$365,582 per fiscal year. In addition, the TCEQ would have contract service costs of \$250,000 per year to upgrade and maintain water availability models. The agency anticipates General Revenue funding will be necessary to implement the provisions in the bill because projected balances in the GR-Dedicated Water Resource Management Account No. 153 would not be adequate.

The TWDB also expects to need additional staff and related costs to provide data and expertise to the committees and stakeholder groups established in this bill, as well as funding for costs incurred by the SAC and BBEST as well as administrative costs for designated political subdivisions and studies relating to bays and estuaries. The agency anticipates needing 3.1 FTEs in fiscal year 2008, 4.1 FTEs in fiscal year 2009 and 5.3 FTEs in subsequent fiscal years. The annual costs for the TWDB range from \$737,610 to \$1,317,968 during the five year period. The agency anticipates General Revenue funding will be necessary to implement the provisions in the bill because projected balances in the Water Assistance Fund No. 480 would not be adequate.

The TPWD estimates it will need additional staff (3 FTEs) and travel, operating and equipment costs to provide technical assistance to the BBESTs. The agency anticipates funding to be provided by the General Revenue-Dedicated Game, Fish and Water Safety Account No. 9.

This fiscal note assumes that existing studies and information will be used by SAC and the BBEST. Funds are not included to do additional studies through grant funds.

### **Article 2 - Water Conservation and Planning**

No significant fiscal implications are expected to the TCEQ as a result of provisions in Article 2 of the bill. It is anticipated that any costs associated with the regulation of irrigation systems can be absorbed by the TCEQ.

The TWDB estimates that they will need 2.0 FTEs for the public awareness program to provide oversight and administration of the program at a cost of approximately \$270,000 for the 2008-09 biennium. The agency anticipates needing two FTEs for this function in subsequent fiscal years as well. This cost would be paid out of the General Revenue Fund. Providing a public awareness campaign on the scale of the Texas Department of Transportation's "Don't Mess with Texas" campaign, could cost as much as \$9 million for the biennium. However, these costs are not included in this estimate. Instead it is assumed that the TWDB would use existing resources to begin developing a statewide water conservation public awareness campaign.

The TWDB anticipates needing 1 additional FTE and related costs to review the water conservation plans and annual reports of retail water supply systems, as required in Article 2, for a total of approximately \$125,000 for the 2008-09 biennium. The agency anticipates needing one FTE for this function in subsequent fiscal years as well. This cost would be paid out of the General Revenue Fund.

TWDB also anticipates needing one FTE and the associated costs to license and register water transactions of more than 100 acre-feet per year for a total cost of \$83,126 for the 2008-09 biennium. The agency anticipates needing one FTE for this function in subsequent fiscal years as well. This cost would be paid out the Water Infrastructure Fund No. 302 since registration fees would be deposited to that account and would be available to fund program costs.

#### Article 3 - Unique Reservoir Sites and Sites of Unique Ecological Value

Expenses would occur only if a dam or reservoir were to be built at any of the designated sites; otherwise, no fiscal implications are anticipated.

# **Article 4 - Legislative Joint Interim Committee**

No significant fiscal implications to the state are expected from the creation of this legislative joint interim committee.

#### **Local Government Impact**

Councils and committees created, expanded or modified by the bill could incur administrative costs. However, these costs are not expected to be significant, since state agencies are required to provide technical and staff support to these entities.

The bill could reduce or eliminate a local government's ability to divert water under a water right issued after September 1, 2007 for municipal use on a case by case basis. The fiscal impacts to a local government would depend on the cost of obtaining water supply from another source.

The bill would prevent local governments from obtaining a fee title or an easement that would significantly prevent the construction of a reservoir or adversely affect a unique river or stream segment designated by the bill. Potential impacts cannot be determined or quantified at this time, however.

**Source Agencies:** 580 Water Development Board, 582 Commission on Environmental Quality, 802 Parks

and Wildlife Department, 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts

LBB Staff: JOB, JF, DB, SD, WK, ZS, TL